UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

vs.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAFCOTE, INC.

Plaintiff,

: CASE NO.: : 1:04-CV-853

GENATT ASSOCIATES, INC.

Defendant.

Deposition of STEPHEN SCHULMAN, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Melissa J. Kelly, RMR, CRR, Licensed Shorthand Reporter #00307, and Notary Public within and for the State of Connecticut, held at Mafcote, Inc., 108 Main Street, Norwalk, Connecticut, on September 18, 2006, at 9:36 a.m.

DEL VECCHIO REPORTING SERVICES, LLC PROFESSIONAL SHORTHAND REPORTERS 117 RANDI DRIVE MADISON, CT 06443 203 245-9583 800 839-6867 HARTFORD NEW HAVEN STAMFORD

and are not repeating documents. 1 MR. WALULIK: We'll go off the record 2 3 for a second. MR. GREER: Does that make sense? 4 5 MR. MINDLIN: Yeah. That's fine. (Off the record.) б 7 MR. WALULIK: Before we went off the record, Mr. Greer was talking about a 8 9 stipulation. I just want to make sure we've 10 got on the record that everyone agrees to the 11 stipulation he proposed. I do on behalf of 12 Genatt. 13 MR. MINDLIN: So agreed. 14 MR. WALULIK: The third stipulation 15 that we had talked about is a choice of law 16 stipulation. When Mafcote litigated the 17 underlying insurance coverage case against 18 Continental, they briefed the issues under Ohio law. We would ask that the tort claims 19 20 also be governed by Ohio law in this action. 21 And Mr. Greer --22 MR. GREER: We'd stipulate to that. 23 MR. WALULIK: And I think Mr. Mindlin, 24 I'll let you speak. 25 MR. MINDLIN: Yes. I had not heard of

this issue until walking into the room within the last five minutes and I was not -- have not had a chance to consider it. So at this time I'm going to neither accept or refuse the stipulation. I'm just going to hold it in abeyance until I've had a chance to think about it.

MR. WALULIK: So we're clear, the stipulation will be between Genatt Associates and Mafcote with Continental reserving its decision on whether to join.

The last stipulation we talked about is a withdrawal of a couple of counts from the complaint and, Jamie, I'll let you speak.

MR. GREER: That's right. There are certain counts in the complaint and in reading the complaint will speak for themselves. But they deal with the 2004, the 2005 policy when this complaint was written and filed, that was a policy that was out there. That policy has since expired. There have been no claims made under that policy, so there is no damage stemming from it. So we would withdraw our claims in relation to that policy so that we can focus in on the

2001 claim which is the subject matter of the 1 2 deposition. MR. WALULIK: And so the matter is 3 clear, you're withdrawing Counts 7 and 8 from 4 5 the complaint? 6 MR. GREER: I believe. Can I just take 7 a look at it quick? I believe that's 8 correct, David, but I don't want to agree too 9 fast. Yes to both 7 and 8. 10 11 MR. WALULIK: Great. I think we can 12 get started. 13 BY MR. WALULIK: I'm going to show you a couple of 14 Q. 15 exhibits --16 MR. MINDLIN: Before you do, just off 17 the record. 18 (Off the record.) 19 MR. MINDLIN: Just, I think the 20 reporter said something about waiving the 21 signing and filing of the deposition 22 transcript which is one of the usual federal 23 stipulations at depositions. The other that I'm familiar with is that all objections 24 25 except as to form are reserved until trial so

Did he work out of this office in July and

25

0.

August of 2001? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 When was the decision made, if you recall? Sometime around July 16th or July 17th or 4 Α. 5 July 18th. 6 So if -- this boiler accident happened on Ο. 7 July 16th, correct? So between the 16th and the 18th the decision was made for Royal Consumer 8 9 Products to go out and purchase products on the 10 open market? 11 Α. The decision was made to start probably on 12 July 16th. 13 Q. Okay. What is Bob Kaminsky's relationship to this lawsuit? 14 15 Bob was the plant manager of -- or plant Α. 16 engineer, I forget which, of the Franklin plant of 17 Miami Valley Paper. 18 Q. What would his job responsibilities have 19 been at that plant? 20 To run the plant. Α. 21 Ο. He was responsible for production? 22 Α. Yes. Q. When did Mr. Kaminsky cease employment with 23 24 Mafcote? 25 Α. I'm not sure.

Correct. 1 Α. And you held those positions during 2001? 2 0. 3 Correct. Α. You've been president of Mafcote since 4 Q. 5 1988, right? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And you've been employed by Mafcote since Q. 8 1968? 9 Α. Correct. 10 Q. What's your educational background? 11 Α. I have a degree from University of Pennsylvania in business administration and a 12 13 master's degree in business administration from 14 Columbia. 15 Ο. So your undergraduate, is it a bachelor of 16 arts? 17 Α. BA. 18 And your Columbia degree is an MBA? 19 MBA. Actually, it's a BS, bachelor of Α. 20 science. Undergraduate is a BS. 21 Q. Do you have any particular special education that you studied or was it just a general 22 23 MBA? 24 MBA was, I think, marketing. I'm not Α. 25 positive.

THE WITNESS: The other part of the 1 2 situation is that during the time that the carrier is responsible for the loss, the 3 carrier does the same thing, makes 4 5 recommendations about the risk and the same 6 process occurs. 7 BY MR. WALULIK: How many employees does Mafcote have? 8 Ο. 9 Α. About 400. And those 400 employees, do those include 10 Q. employees of affiliates? 11 Uh-huh. 1.2 Α. 13 MR. GREER: You need to say "yes" instead of "uh-huh." 14 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 BY MR. WALULIK: Do you have any rough idea as to how many 17 Q. affiliates Mafcote has? 18 We have four major affiliates, and then 19 those affiliates have affiliates. 20 What are the four major affiliates? 21 Q. 22 FC Meyer, Miami Wabash Paper, Royal Consumer Products, Mafcote International. 23 What lines of business is Mafcote 24 0.

International responsible for?

Α. Mainly consumer products. 1 2 What does it do? 0. 3 Α. It operates affiliates. 4 Q. How many affiliates? 5 Α. When? 6 Presently -- from July 2001 to the present? Q. 7 Α. July 2001, I don't believe we had any, but 8 I'm not sure. 9 How about the present? 0. 10 Present we have three affiliates. Α. 11 Q. What are those three Mafcote International affiliates? 12 13 Α. Geographics Australia, EE Mafcote SRL and 14 Geographic Europe. 15 0. And when you say "Geographic Australia," 16 that's an entity that operates in Australia? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Geographic Europe is an entity that 19 operates in Europe? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Q. The EE Mafcote, where does that entity 22 operate? 23 Romania. Α. 24 And how many countries does GE -- Mafcote 0. 25 Europe operate in?

What are his responsibilities presently? ο. 1 General manager of Miami Valley. 2 Α. Since you joined the company in 1964, has 3 Q. 4 Mafcote and the Mafcote affiliates always carried 5 boiler insurance? 6 Α. To my knowledge. 7 0. When did you first become familiar with 8 boiler insurance as carried by Mafcote or the Mafcote affiliates? 9 10 I don't know. Maybe soon after I joined Α. 11 the company, but I'm not quite sure when. 12 0. When did you begin to assume responsibility 13 for seeing that proper insurance was procured? 14 I think shortly after joining. 15 So you've had involvement with procurement 0. 16 of boiler insurance on behalf of Mafcote and the Mafcote affiliates since the 1960s? 17 18 Α. Well, if not the '60s the '70s. 19 Ο. And are your policies typically subject to 20 a one- or three-year policy term, roughly? 21 Α. Typically. 22 So you've had at least 10 to 20 different 0. 23 renewals during the time you've had that 24 responsibility?

It could be.

25

Α.

Does that sound right? 1 Q. 2 Α. It could possibly be. I haven't counted 3 them so I can't tell you. Q. How many different insurers has Mafcote had 4 over that time? Can you give me a rough guess? 5 6 Α. I cannot. 7 How many insurance brokers have you had over that time? 8 9 Perhaps five. Α. 10 Ο. Who are those brokers? 11 Α. I think that -- the first one I can recall 12 is Cobdin and Associates. 13 0. How do you spell that? 14 C-o-b-d-i-n and Associates. The second one 15 was Sterling & Sterling. The third was Dan 16 Mozoratto. 17 MR. GREER: Spell that, too. THE WITNESS: I could not. I'm not 18 19 going to try Dan Mozoratto. 20 Fourth one was Acordia. The fifth one 21 was Genatt. 22 BY MR. WALULIK: Have you considered who you're going to use 23 Q. for your boiler coverage for the next year? 24 25 Α. Well, I -- what does that mean? Is that

That's fine. THE WITNESS: 1 2 MR. WALULIK: Okay. Great. 3 BY MR. WALULIK: If you can take a look at Count 2, that's a 4 Q. 5 breach of fiduciary duty claim. 6 Do you understand what a fiduciary is? 7 Α. Yes. What's your understanding of a fiduciary? 8 0. 9 My understanding is that a fiduciary Α. 10 obligation is an obligation by a party to another 11 party to act on the -- on behalf of the second 12 party in a manner which is forthright and honest to 13 the party that they're acting for. 14 Did Mafcote retain the right to refuse to 15 enter an insurance contract recommended by Genatt 16 Associates? 17 Α. Yes. So the final decision as to whether to 18 0. 19 purchase the policy was Mafcote's? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Did Genatt have any authority to bind 22 coverage for Mafcote without your consent? 23 Α. No. 24 Count 4 begins at Paragraph 46. Q. 25 titled "Breach Of Duty of Good Faith and Fair

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And same thing with Count 6 which is a
3	statutory count under Ohio law. The representation
4	would be that there is coverage under the policy?
5	A. Correct.
6	MR. WALULIK: I think now is a good
7	time to take a break for lunch if you want
8	to.
9	(Whereupon a lunch recess was taken.)
10	MR. WALULIK: If you can go ahead and
11	mark this 12.
12	(Joint Exhibit No. 12: Marked for
13	identification.)
14	BY MR. WALULIK:
15	Q. Mr. Schulman, the reporter has just handed
16	you what has been marked Exhibit 12. This is a
17	Contingent Business Interruption insurance form
18	from CNA?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You testified previously that the
21	negligence and other claims that you assert against
22	Genatt are based in part upon the failure to obtain
23	a contingent business interruption coverage.

should have been obtained?

Is this the form that Mafcote contends

24

1 MR. MINDLIN: By "you" do you mean 2 Mr. Schulman? 3 MR. WALULIK: Correct. THE WITNESS: 4 No. 5 BY MR. WALULIK: 6 When was the first notice you received? 7 Α. Well, I received notice on 7/16 or thereabout that they couldn't run the steam foil 8 9 and from that point through 8/13/01, I had received 10 a stream of other reports. In order for me to give 11 you a more accurate answer, I'd have to review all 12 of the letters and files to tell you exactly when I understood what. 13 Continuing with the fax from Bob Kaminsky 14 15 to yourself, number 1 says that the boiler ruptured on 7/26/01. 16 17 That date is in fact incorrect? 18 Α. Correct. That date is incorrect. 19 The 7/26/01 date, does that refresh your 20 memory as to when the problem was diagnosed? 21 Well, the problem might have been -- it Α. 22 doesn't really. All I know is that on 23 7/16/06 -- '01, I should say, I knew that the steam 24 foil was inoperative. And at some point between

then and whatever the correspondence indicates they

A. I don't know. You'd have to show me data that --

MR. GREER: That was the last correspondence you showed him that relates to that.

BY MR. WALULIK:

Q. The August 14, 2001 letter from Ed DiGioia to yourself states that you are suffering business interruptions, could default on about a million dollars in sales.

To whom were you in danger of defaulting?

- A. Well, we had numerous customers who required delivery on time and to those customers we would default.
- Q. This fax was sent, it appears, on August 14th at 11:42 a.m.; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is this the first written notice that

 Mafcote provided to Genatt Associates about this

product already?

- A. Yes. There may have been purchases after that date but certainly before that date.
- Q. And were the purchases after that date confirmed by CNA?
 - A. No.

MR. WALULIK: Let's mark these 19.

(Joint Exhibit No. 19: Marked for identification.)

BY MR. WALULIK:

- Q. Going back to Exhibit 18 if we could for just one second, is it Genatt's contention or, excuse me, is it Mafcote's contention that Genatt breached any duty in failing to inform you about purchases of stock, replacement product?
- A. Our position is that they helped us prepare the claim. The claim was denied by CNA. If there's any responsibility for the preparation of the claim and its failure to have CNA respond properly, it lies with Genatt.
- Q. Okay. And I understand your previous response. My question is just a little different. I'm just trying to determine who made the decision.

Genatt never told you to go out and purchase third party product, correct?

- Q. From August 13th to August 15th the only customer of the Franklin affiliate that cancelled an order was Royal Consumer Products, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. If you take a look at the first page of this exhibit, the August 29th letter from yourself, the second paragraph states that, "On July 27, 2001, Mr. Kaminsky contacted Hartford Steam."

Does that refresh your recollection as to the dates that Mr. Kaminsky reported the claim to Hartford Steam?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And your understanding is that that date is correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Initially did you or Mafcote believe that Continental bore some negligence on its own part for failing to inspect this boiler?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it your belief that had Continental inspected this boiler since Hartford Steam last inspected it, that this claim would have been reported correctly?
- A. Yes. I believe that we would have reported it to the right carrier.

- Q. Are there daily communications from the home office in Connecticut with the field affiliate in Ohio?
 - A. Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So is it fair to say that certainly the people here in the home office in Norwalk knew that the boiler wasn't functioning as of August 9th when this estimate was obtained?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you had some testimony in response to questions of Mr. Walulik where you acknowledged at some point in time the folks at the Franklin facility ordered a rental boiler.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you know when the order was placed for the rental boiler?
 - A. Not offhand.
 - Q. Is that information you can obtain?

A. Correct.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. If the information shows that the boiler was ordered prior to CNA even being notified, how would you explain that?
- A. I couldn't but, you know, it's possible.

 I'm not saying that it didn't happen.
- Q. Do you know the length of time between ordering the boiler and the receiving the boiler, the rental boiler?
- A. I don't know. Perhaps three days or four days.

MR. GREER: If you don't know, don't

5

MR. WALULIK: I'm sorry. Can you read back the last two questions just so I'm clear on what was answered.

(Whereupon the record was read by the Court Reporter.)

BY MR. MINDLIN:

- Q. At any time in connection with those discussions with the representatives of Genatt that you mentioned, did any of them suggest to you that the purchases should be made by the Franklin, Ohio facility and not by Royal?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did that topic come up one way or the other?
 - A. That topic did not come up.
- Q. To your knowledge did anyone at Mafcote in any conversations with CNA representatives ever indicate that Mafcote did not intend to submit a loss of income claim, only an extra expense claim with respect to this incident?
- A. I'm afraid that although I've submitted claims before, I really am not an expert in filing claims. We know what a loss is. We told them how we were going to file a loss and what our losses were. I had no objection. We had no objection at